

GHG Verification Report

Responsible party:Multek

Industries Limited



CTI Certification Co., LTD.



Abstract – Verification Opinion

Responsible party:

Multek Industries Limited

Level of assurance

Level of reasonable assurance

□ Level of limited assurance

Substantial Threshold: 5%

Boundary(ies) :

Verified greenhouse gas statement:

The 2023 Greenhouse Gas Inventory Report of Multek Industries Limited

Organizational boundaries:

All facilities under the operational control approach related to greenhouse gas emissions and removals of Multek Industries Limited (Short as: North Campus), located in No.2 XinTang Road,XinQing Science&Technology Industrial Park,JingAn Town,Doumen, Zhuhai City.

Scope of business and activities:

Production and sales of PCB.

Time period:

January 1, 2023- December 31, 2023

GHG Category(ies):

⊠Category1 ⊠Category2 ⊠Category3 ⊠Category4 □Category5 □Category6



Site inspection date:

April 8, 2024

On-site review method:

☑ Site assessment □ Remote review
 Places where remote verification is carried out in multiple places:______

Standards Applied to Verify GHG Emission Inventory and Report

- ⊠ ISO 14064-1:2018
- □ Other Requirements:

Verification programme

- ⊠ ISO/IEC 17029:2019
- ⊠ ISO 14065:2020
- ⊠ ISO 14064-3:2019
- ⊠ ISO 14066:2011
- □ Other designated GHG programs:

Members of Verification Team

| Team Leader | Li xiaojing | Signature: | Li Xiaojing |
|-------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| /address: | /Shenzhen | | |
| Members | Huang yangbin | Signature: | Huang Yangbin |
| /address: | /Shenzhen | | |
| Technical | Lilian | Signature: | Li Lian |
| Reviewer | | | |
| /address: | /Shenzhen | | |



GHG Emission Report Summary

| Cate gory | GHG | CO ₂ | CH_4 | N ₂ O | HFCs | PFCs | SF_6 | NF_3 | Total GHG Emission |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--------|------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Cate | Emission (tCO ₂ e/year) | 90.20 | 234.67 | 3.28 | 2,368. 08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2,696.24 |
| gory 1 | Percentage in total emission | 3.35% | 8.70% | 0.12% | 87.83 % | 0.00 % | 0.00 % | 0.00 % | 100.00% |
| Cate | Emission (tCO ₂ e/year) | 116177. 52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 116,177.52 |
| gory 2 | Percentage in total emission | 100.00 % | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| Cate | Emission (tCO ₂ e/year) | 1329.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,329.78 |
| gory 3 | Percentage in total emission | 100.00 % | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00 % | 0.00 % | 0.00 % | 100.00% |
| Cate | Emission (tCO ₂ e/year) | 57783.1 6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 57783.16 |
| gory 4 | Percentage in total emission | 100.00 % | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00 % | 0.00 % | 0.00 % | 100.00% |
| Cate | Emission (tCO ₂ e/year) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% |
| gory 5 | Percentage in total emission | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00 % | 0.00 % | 0.00 % | 0.00% |



| Cate | Emission (tCO ₂ e/year) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|------|------|------|----------|
| gory 6 | Percentage in total | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% |
| | emission | | | | | % | % | % | |
| | Emission | 175380. | 234.67 | 3.28 | 2368.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 177,987 |
| Tota | (tCO ₂ e/year) | 67 | 254.07 | 5.20 | 8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 111,981 |
| l | Percentage in total | 00 5 40/ | 0.120/ | 0.000/ | 1 220/ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.000/ |
| | emission | 98.54% | 0.13% | 0.00% | 1.33% | % | % | % | 100.00% |



Verification Statement and Opinions

According to the data and information provided by Multek Industries Limited, CTI has carried out the verification activities in accordance with ISO 14064-1:2018 Greenhouse gases — Part 1: Specification with guidance at the organization level for quantification and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions and removals. CTI provides assurance that: the GHG Emission from January 1, 2023 to December 31, 2023 reported by Multek Industries Limited are verifiable and meeting the requirements of the standards of ISO 14064-1:2018.

CTI concludes that: the GHG assertion is substantially correct and fairly statement of GHG data and information.

Multek Industries Limited is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of greenhouse gas emission reports according to the guidelines.

The verification team is responsible for expressing an opinion on the greenhouse gas emission report based on the verification.



1 BRIEF INTRODUCTION

1.1 Objectives

The verification work is implemented in accordance with ISO 14064-1:2018. To be able to provide a level of reasonable assurance, CTI has implemented the following procedures we consider appropriately:

- Taking sampling test source data to check data and documents.
- Confirming the calculation is correct.
- On-site inspection of instruments and reported GHG Emission.
- Conducting face-to-face interviews and discussions with relevant personnel involved in systems, procedures, and operation control.
- Observations and checking related documents.

CTI confirms that we are not aware of any actual or perceived conflict of interest when completing this agreement.

1.2 Scope

CTI is contracted to carry out the verification of the GHG Inventory Report (Initial release date: February 10, 2024, final release date: April 10, 2024, coverage period: January 1, 2023 - December 31, 2023) of Multek Industries Limited. The verification was implemented on 8 April 2024 in accordance with the verification plan, which provides an opinion at the level of reasonable assurance on whether the 2023 GHG Inventory of Multek Industries Limited has made fair presentation in all material aspects in accordance with the standards of ISO 14064-1:2018.

1.3 Level of Assurance

The assurance level selected for this verification activity is a reasonable assurance level, and the substantial threshold is 5%.

2 OVERVIEW OF VERIFICATION ACTIVITIES

2.1 Verification Evidence Collection Procedures and Evaluation

The verifier implemented evidence gathering activities and reviewed the following as determined by the risk assessment:

| No. Content of the Review Brief description of Accreditation Findings |
|---|
|---|



| | | evidence collected (describe in parentheses below or add additional records | or Evaluation of GHG Statement/GHG Management |
|---|--|---|--|
| а | Operations and activities related to GHG sources, sinks and reservoirs; identification of emission sources; | if needed) | The identification of GHG emission sources of the enterprise was carried out by reviewing the enterprise organization chart and the GHG inventory report, and the identification of emission sources was found to be comprehensive. |
| b | GHG data management and control system: a) Selection and management of GHG data and information; b) Processes for collecting, processing, summarizing and reporting GHG data and information; c) Systems and processes to ensure the validity and accuracy of GHG data and information; d) the design and maintenance of the GHG information system; | ☑ Greenhouse Gas Quantification and Reporting Management Program □ Other regulatory requirements | Through communication with enterprise managers and review of GHG quantification and reporting management documents, the enterprise's regulations on GHG data management and control system are clear, and the management of GHG data and information is more effective and accurate. |
| с | Infrastructure; | ⊠ Plane layout | The verification team conducts on-site |



| | | | surveys of all |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | | | production processes |
| | | | and physical buildings |
| | | | to verify consistency. |
| d | Equipping, calibrating and | □ List of GHG-related | Enterprises have |
| | monitoring of GHG-related | measuring equipment | established a list of |
| | measuring equipment; | ⊠ Evidence of | GHG-related |
| | | calibration of | measuring equipment, |
| | | GHG-related metrology | which is regularly |
| | | equipment | updated |
| е | The equipment information, | ☑ Photographs of | The verification team |
| | supporting assumptions and | relevant equipment | identified on-site |
| | calculation methods involved in | □ Other regulatory | working facilities and |
| | the GHG emissions calculation | requirements | took relevant site |
| | process, and the consistency with | () | photographs. |
| | the actual situation; | | |
| f | Identification of processes | Processes affecting | Does not involve |
| | affecting emissions and | emissions (not | process emissions |
| | management of material flows; | involving process | |
| | | emissions) | |
| | | □ Evidence of | |
| | | material flow (not | |
| | | related to process | |
| | | emissions) | |
| g | Scope and boundaries | GHG statement | The verification team |
| | organizational boundaries, | ☐ Previous GHG | confirmed on-site that |
| | reporting boundaries); | verification results | the enterprise |
| | Results of previous verifications, if | | boundary is all |
| | available and appropriate, to be | | facilities generating |
| | compared; | | GHG emissions and |
| | | | removals located at 3 |
| | | | Guangbao Dadao, |
| | | | Guangzhou Free Trade |
| | | | Zone, Guangzhou, as |
| | | | determined by the |
| | | | organization in |
| | | | accordance with the |
| | | | accordance with the |



| | | | principlo of right to |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | | | principle of right to operational control. |
| | | | GHG verifications have |
| | | | |
| | | | been carried out in |
| | | | previous years and the |
| | | | last verification year |
| | | | was 2022, with no |
| | | | change in scope or |
| | | | boundaries from the |
| | | | previous year. |
| h | Conformity with operational and | \boxtimes Relevant records | By reviewing relevant |
| | data collection procedures; | 🗆 Other () | records and |
| | | | communicating with |
| | | | site personnel, the |
| | | | business operation |
| | | | and data collection |
| | | | procedures are |
| | | | reasonable and |
| | | | compliant. |
| i | Personnel activities with potential | ⊠ Training | By reviewing relevant |
| | impact on materiality; | Management | records and |
| | | Procedures | communicating with |
| | | ☑ Procedure Plan | site personnel, the |
| | | ☑ Training Records | business operation |
| | | | and data collection |
| | | | procedures are |
| | | | reasonable and |
| : | Compling opuinment and | Samaling plan and | compliant. |
| j | Sampling equipment and | Sampling plan and | 1 production site, not |
| | sampling methods; | instructions | involving sampling. |
| k | Monitoring practices in | Evidence of routine | Meter reading records, |
| | accordance with requirements | monitoring by the | usage records, testing |
| | established by the Responsible | responsible party | records. |
| | Party or specified in the Guidelines; | | |
| | | See 2.3 for details | |
| l | • | | |
| | made in determining GHG data, | | |

| | emissions, and, where applicable, | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | emission reductions and removal | | |
| | increments; | | |
| m | Establishment and | ⊠ Greenhouse gas | The enterprise has |
| | implementation of quality control | quality management | established and |
| | and quality assurance procedures | procedures | implemented a GHG |
| | to prevent or identify and correct | ⊠ Evidence of | management system |
| | any errors or omissions in the | implementation of | document that |
| | reported monitoring parameters. | GHG quality | effectively prevents or |
| | | management | identifies and corrects |
| | | procedures () | any errors or |
| | | | omissions in reporting |
| | | | monitoring |
| | | | parameters. |
| n | Selection and applicability of base | ⊠ GHG | The fixed base year is |
| | year | Representation | adopted, and 2018 is |
| | | | the first inventory year |
| | | | of the enterprise, with |
| | | | normal production |
| | | | and operation |
| | | | throughout the year, |
| | | | so it is set as the base |
| | | | year, which meets the |
| | | | requirements. |
| | | | 2018 annual emissions |
| | | | 134848tCO ₂ e |
| | | | (Category 1-2), total |
| | | | output 725863m ² , unit |
| | | | product carbon |
| | | | emissions |
| | | | 185.78kgCO ₂ e/m ² . |
| 0 | Establishment and | | Reduction target: |
| - | implementation of GHG emission | | From 2021 to 2030, |
| | reduction targets | | with 2018 as the base |
| | | | year, greenhouse gas |
| | | | emissions will be |
| | | | |
| L | | | reduced by 50% (set |



| according to customer |
|--|
| needs, for categories |
| 1-2 only). |
| Emissions in 2023 are |
| 118,874TCO ₂ e |
| (category 1-2), total |
| output is 848,471.4 |
| m2, and carbon |
| emissions per unit |
| product are |
| 140.10kgCO ₂ e/m ² . |
| Compared with 2018 |
| (base year), total |
| emissions in 2023 are |
| reduced by 11.85% |
| and carbon emissions |
| per unit product by |
| 24.58%. Compared |
| with the year 2022, the |
| total emissions in 2023 |
| decreased by 0.04%, |
| and the carbon |
| emissions per unit |
| product decreased by |
| 1.47%. |

2.2 Confirmation of changes since the last verified GHG statement

Prior year verification: ⊠ Yes(⊠ CTI □ Non-CTI) □ No (no confirmation required)

| | | | Conformity of GHG |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| | | | statement with changed |
| No. | Changes | Changes | situation (if not, there should |
| | | | be a record of rectification |
| | | | verification) |
| а | Substantial unexplained changes | □ Yes() | ☑ Conformity |
| | in emissions, removals, and | 🖾 No | □ Non-conformity |
| | storage; | | () |
| b | An increase in the number of | □ Yes() | 🛛 Conformity |



| | GHG source, sink and reservoir | 🖂 No | □ Non-conformity |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------|------------------|
| | sites or facilities that are material | | () |
| | to the GHG statement; | | |
| с | Substantial changes in the scope | 🗆 Yes() | ☑ Conformity |
| | or boundary of the report; | 🖂 No | □ Non-conformity |
| | | | () |
| d | A significant change in data | □ Yes() | ⊠ Conformity |
| | management involving a specific | 🛛 No | □ Non-conformity |
| | site or facility. | | () |

2.3 Verification of GHG emissions data and information

| Activity and Emission Source | Document | Verification Findings |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Direct Emission from | □ Delivery note | The diesel consumption of the |
| stationary combustion | 🗆 Invoice | generator is subject to the diesel |
| (⊠ Applicable □ Not | ⊠ Record of use | consumption record table, which |
| Applicable) | ⊠ Emission factors | cannot be cross-verified due to |
| | | the absence of the invoice. After |
| | | verification, the diesel |
| | | consumption record table is the |
| | | actual consumption, that is, the |
| | | diesel consumption of the |
| | | generator is 1167.60kg. |
| Direct Emission from | IC Card Fueling Ledger | The diesel consumption of forklift |
| mobile combustion | Fueling invoice | truck is subject to the diesel |
| (⊠ Applicable □ Not | □ Mileage driven by the | consumption record table, which |
| Applicable) | vehicle | cannot be cross-verified due to |
| | Vehicle inventory | the absence of the invoice. After |
| | Emission factor | verification, the diesel |
| | | consumption record table is the |
| | | actual consumption, that is, the |
| | | diesel consumption of forklift |
| | | truck is 4340.00kg. |
| | | The fuel and diesel consumption |
| | | of official vehicles is subject to |



| | | the fuel and diesel allocation table (according to the total fuel consumption and the proportion of people, the fuel and diesel |
|----------------------|-------------------|--|
| | | consumption of three areas in the North factory, Shuohong and |
| | | South Factory), which is |
| | | consistent with previous years, |
| | | that is, the gasoline consumption |
| | | of official vehicles in the North |
| | | factory is 16,865.71 kg, and the |
| | | diesel consumption of official |
| | | vehicles is 5240.87kg. |
| | | Gasoline density: 0.775 kg/L, |
| | | source GB17930-2016, Table 2 Technical requirements and test |
| | | methods for automotive gasoline |
| | | (V) High limit for gasoline density; |
| | | Diesel oil density: 0.84 kg/L, from |
| | | China Petroleum Products |
| | | Information, Diesel Oil Properties |
| | | Introduction. |
| Direct Emission from | ☑ Statistics | The acetylene receiving record is |
| process activities | Inventory records | missing. After verification, the |
| (⊠ Applicable □ Not | | acetylene receiving record is the |
| Applicable) | Emission factors | actual consumption, that is, the |
| | | acetylene consumption is 75kg; |
| | | Material fire performance burner (methane) is not used in 2023, so |
| | | the consumption is 0; Plasma |
| | | (CF4) according to the purchase |
| | | quantity and receiving records, |
| | | the data is consistent with |
| | | cross-verification, and the CH4 |
| | | consumption is 1930kg; Laser gas |
| | | (CO2) was cross-verified |
| | | according to the receiving record |



| | | and the receiving record, the data were consistent, and the consumption was 598kg; The sodium permanganate was cross-verified according to the receiving record and the receiving record, and the actual consumption was subject to the receiving record. The on-site |
|---|---|---|
| | | confirmed consumption of 40% sodium highbornate was 44,775kg. |
| Direct fugitive Emission: Refrigeration system (⊠ Applicable □ Not Applicable) | ☑ Refrigerant charging records ☑ Refrigerant purchase records ☑ Calculation Methods ☑ Emission factors | The on-site verification confirmed that the refrigerant (R134A, R123, R22, R32, R407C, R404A) emission source was involved in the enterprise, and it was confirmed by checking the charging record that only R134A was charged in 2023, and the filling amount was 136kg. |
| Fire-fighting System (⊠ Applicable □ Not Applicable) | Purchase Record Invoice Calculation method Emission factor | The verification team confirmed on site that the enterprise involved the emission source of HFC-2 gas fire extinguishers and carbon dioxide fire extinguishers, and confirmed the HFC-2 filling capacity of 600kg and carbon dioxide filling capacity of 1640kg in 2023 by checking the procurement records. |
| Septic tank/sewage treatment tank (⊠ Applicable □ Not Applicable) | Design parameters of sewage treatment facilities Design parameters of septic tank Calculation Methods Emission factors | Check the check-in schedule of the inspected party, and estimate the annual septic tank BOD production volume based on the monthly attendance and monthly production time as the active |



| SF ₆ (□ Applicable ⊠ Not Applicable) | □ SF ₆ charging records □ Calculation Methods □ Emission factors | data source of CH4 escape discharge of domestic wastewater. The depth of the septic tank is greater than 2 m, and the total BOD production is 17,482kg. Not involved. |
|--|--|---|
| Category 2 Indirect emissio | ns of GHG from external energy | inputs |
| Indirect Emission from electricity consumption (⊠ Applicable □ Not Applicable) | ☑ Electricity bill☑ Invoice | Grid electricity: Check the electricity bill and invoice, the data is consistent, the power consumption of the whole plant this year is 203712989.58kWh. Photovoltaic power: enterprise self-use, photovoltaic equipment is installed by the three parties free of charge, through the form of monthly electricity bill settlement fees, through the verification of electricity notice and invoice, this year the entire plant photovoltaic power consumption of 746860.50kWh. |
| Indirect Emission from a CHP facility, imported steam, district heating, and district cooling (□ Applicable ⊠ Not Applicable) | Monthly utilities bill Fuel and efficiency data from suppliers Emission factors | Not involved. |
| · · · | issions from transportation | 1 |
| Emissions from upstream | ☑ Procurement records | The on-site communication of |
| transport of goods | 🛛 Transportation distance | the verification team, combined |
| (⊠ Applicable □ Not | ☑ Calculation method | with the raw material |



| | | the enterprise and the related information of procurement and transportation, confirmed the data of cargo upstream transportation and distribution tonnage kilometers as truck: 4707481.42 tkm; By sea: 348,777.00 tkm. |
|---|---|--|
| Emissions from downstream transport and distribution for goods (⊠ Applicable □ Not Applicable) | Product sales volume Transportation distance Calculation method Emission factors | The on-site communication of the verification team, combined with the product sales information provided by the enterprise, confirmed the data of the downstream transportation and distribution tonnage kilometers of goods as truck: 306220.59 tkm; Sea transport: 10763.37 tkm; By air, 42357.25 |
| | | tkm. |
| Emissions from business travels (⊠ Applicable □ Not Applicable) | Business trip records Travel distance Calculation Methods Emission factors | The verification team communicated on the spot, combined with the business travel records provided by the enterprise, and confirmed that the business travel mainly involved flight, and the number |
| | | of people kilometers was 1552,973.00 people·km. |
| Emissions from employee commuting include emissions related to the transporting of employees form homes to their workplaces (⊠ Applicable □ Not Applicable) | Commuting modes Commuting distance Calculation Methods Emission factors | The on-site communication of the verification team, combined with the shuttle bus commuting records provided by the company, confirmed that the commuting of employees mainly involves bus transportation, and the number of people kilometers is 5322012.60 people·km. |



| Emissions from client and visitors transport (□ Applicable ⊠ Not Applicable) | Transportation modes Travel distance Emission factors Calculation Methods | Not involved. |
|---|--|---|
| Category 4 Indirect GHG em | issions from products used by | the organization |
| Emissions from purchased goods (⊠ Applicable □ Not | Purchasing ledger Emission factors Calculation Methods | The verification team communicated on site, combined with the raw material |
| Applicable) | | consumption ledger and office supplies consumption ledger provided by the company, and confirmed the carbon emission related data generated by the purchased goods in the production process. |
| Emissions from capital | Procurement category | After on-site communication, the |
| goods | Purchase amount | verification team, combined with |
| (⊠ Applicable □ Not | Emission factor | the purchase details of assets |
| Applicable) | Calculation method | and goods provided by the |
| | | enterprise, confirmed that the |
| | | total amount of capital goods |
| | | purchased was 58.4381 million |
| | | yuan, that is, 9,058,800 US dollars |
| | | (6.451RMB/USD at the 2021 |
| | | exchange rate). Not involved. |
| Upstream emissions from | □Invoices □Purchase records | Not mvotved. |
| energy and electricity (□ Applicable ⊠ Not | □ Operating ledgers | |
| Applicable) | □ Emission factors | |
| | □ Calculation Methods | |
| | | |
| Waste disposal | ☑ Reporting records | In the process of on-site |
| (⊠ Applicable □ Not | ⊠ Emission factors | verification, it was found that the |
| Applicable) | Calculation method | data of the enterprise solid waste |
| | | account was inconsistent with |
| | | the actual situation, so the |





| | | non-conforming items were issued. Non-conformance: the data of solid waste account in the enterprise inventory report, inventory and basic data statistics table are wrong and need to be revised; Article No. Iso 14064-1:2018 6.2.2 Selection and collection of quantified data Closed: Revised and corrected basic data sheets, inventories and inventory reports, closed on April 10, 2024. |
|--|--|---|
| Waste transportation (□ Applicable ⊠ Not Applicable) | □Waste disposal and transportation modes □Haul distance □ Emission factors □Calculation Methods | Not involved. |
| Emissions from the use of organizational assets (□ Applicable ⊠ Not Applicable) | Lease amount Emission factor Calculation method | Not involved. |
| Emissions from services purchased by the organization (| Purchasing ledger Emission factors Calculation Methods | Not involved. |
| · | issions associated with the use | of organizational products |
| Emissions from downstream processing of products (□ Applicable ⊠ Not Applicable) | Product Sales Area Processing costs Calculation method | Not involved. |
| Emissions from the use | □ Product sales area | Not involved. |



| phase of the product | □ Design parameters for | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| (🗆 Applicable 🛛 Not | product use | |
| Applicable) | □ Emission factors | |
| | □ Calculation method | |
| Emissions from | □ Lease amount | Not involved. |
| downstream leased assets | Emission factor | |
| (🗆 Applicable 🛛 Not | □ Calculation method | |
| Applicable) | | |
| End-of-life disposal of | □ Waste disposal method | Not involved. |
| products | 🗆 Waste disposal weight | |
| (🗆 Applicable 🖂 Not | □ Emission factor | |
| Applicable) | □ Calculation method | |
| | | |
| Investment Emissions | □ Investment amount | Not involved. |
| (🗆 Applicable 🛛 Not | □ Emission factors | |
| Applicable) | □ Calculation method | |

2.4 面谈的人员及发现

| Name | Department | Title | Interviews | Verification Findings |
|-----------------|------------|----------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Huo guoqiang | EHS | Manager | The basic information of the enterprise, the scope of organizational boundaries, the base year, and the identification of emission sources are preliminarily understood. | / |
| Pang Jierong | EHS | assistant manager | Introduction to greenhouse gas quality management, understanding of enterprise emission reduction targets, energy saving measures. | / |



| Liang Guoquan Cao Genfu | FS FS | senior engineer engineer | Check electricity invoice, notification, gasoline, diesel and other data. Check the refrigerant charging data of the air | / |
|----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Huang Jiaxiang | EHS | fire protection engineer | conditioner. Check carbon dioxide fire extinguisher filling, solid waste account data. | In the process of on-site verification, it was found that the data of the enterprise solid waste account was inconsistent with the actual situation, so the non-conforming items were issued. |
| Gao Xiying | SCM | senior manager | Check the purchase of raw and auxiliary materials. | / |
| Wen Yuying | HR | high commissioner | Check personnel, working hours, etc | / |
| Chen Simei | ADM | commissioner | Check office supplies purchase, shuttle commute, etc | / |

2.5 Scope of the use of ICT verification in remote verification and its

effectiveness in achieving the purpose of the verification (applicable to remote

verification)

On-site verification, not involving remote verification.



2.6 Internal Quality Control

Before submitting the report, the verification report undergone an independent review. The independent review is carried out by an independent peer reviewer who meets the organization's GHG verification requirements of the CTI Certification Ability Management Program.

3 VERIFICATION FINDINGS

3.1 Site Verify

The organizational boundaries of this report including all production and operation activities related to GHG emission in the plant area and living area of Multek Industries Limited (Short as: North Campus) locates at No. 2 Xintang Road, Xinqing Science & Technology Industrial Park, Jingan Town, Doumen District, Zhuhai City, Guangdong Province.

3.2 Organizational boundaries of the report

The organizational boundary of the report covers all production operations related to GHG emissions.

| 3, | 3 Re | porting | boundaries | included | in t | he cal | culation |
|----|------|---------|------------|----------|------|--------|----------|
|----|------|---------|------------|----------|------|--------|----------|

| Category | Subcategory | Emission source specific description |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Category 1: Direct GHG | Stationary combustion | Generator (diesel) |
| emission | sources | |
| | Mobile combustion | Official car (gasoline/diesel), Forklift |
| | sources | truck (diesel) |
| | Sources of fugitive | Electroplating line (sodium |
| | emission from human | permanganate), Plasma unit (CF4), |
| | activities | laser drill (CO2), atomic Absorption |
| | | spectrometer (acetylene), material fire |
| | | performance burner (methane) |
| | Sources of emission | Septic tank (CH4), cold water host |
| | from industrial process | (R134a, R123), compressed air dry |
| | | machine (R404a), air conditioner |
| | | (R407c), air conditioner (R22), air |



| | | conditioner (R32), carbon dioxide fire |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | extinguisher, heptafluoropropane fire |
| | | extinguisher |
| | Sources of emission | |
| | from land use, land use | Not involved. |
| | change and forestry | |
| Category 2: Indirect | Imported energy | Purchased electricity |
| GHG emission from | Indirect emissions from | Not involved. |
| Imported Energy | energy inputs | Not involved. |
| Category 3: Indirect | Emissions from | Raw and auxiliary materials |
| GHG emissions from | upstream | transportation, packaging materials |
| transport | transportation and | transportation (freight, sea) |
| | distribution of goods | |
| | Emissions from | Product transportation (freight, sea, |
| | downstream | air) |
| | transportation and | |
| | distribution of goods | |
| | Emissions from | Employee Commuting (bus) |
| | employee commuting | |
| | Emissions from | Not involved. |
| | customer and visitor | |
| | transportation | |
| | Emissions from business | Business Travel (Flying) |
| | travel | |
| Category 4: Indirect | Emissions from the | Raw and auxiliary materials, |
| GHG emissions from | production of | packaging materials, office supplies |
| products used by the | purchased goods | |
| organization | Emissions from capital | capital goods |
| | goods | 1 0 |
| | Emissions from solid | waste management |
| | and liquid waste | |
| | disposal | |
| | Emissions from the use | Not involved. |
| | of assets | |
| Category 5: Direct GHG | GHG emissions from the | Not involved. |
| emissions associated | use phase of the | |
| | • | |
| with the use of tissue | product | |



| products | Emissions | from | Not involved. |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|
| | downstream leases | | |
| | Emissions | from | Not involved. |
| | end-of-life | disposal of | |
| | products | | |
| | Emissions | from | Not involved. |
| | investment | | |
| Category 6: Indirect | / | | Not involved. |
| GHG emissions from | | | |
| other GHG sources | | | |

3.4 GHG information management

The relevant GHG inventory responsibilities are defined in the procedure document and the GHG inventory report. The verification team inspected the GHG information management system, which includes inventory, recording, data calculation, summarization and GHG information management, and it meets the requirements of the verification guidelines.

3.5 GHG emissions data availability

The verification team conducts on-site surveys of all production processes and physical buildings. Data calculations, summaries and data source availability for significant emission sources are checked accordingly, in compliance with the verification guidelines.

3.6 Nature of data and information

Evidence collection plans based on risk assessment are used as an integral part of the on-site verification program.

Data and information collected during the verification process are reasonable assumptions, projections and/or historical facts.

3.7 Evaluation of the GHG statement

3.7.1 Evaluation of changes

No changes in risk and materiality thresholds occurred during the verification process.

3.7.2 Evaluation of Sufficiency and Appropriateness of Evidence

The evidence collected during the verification process was sufficient and appropriate, and



the verification team inspected the system containing inventory, records, data calculation, summarization and GHG information management.

3.7.3 Evaluation of Substantial Misstatements

The organization's GHG statement is free of material error and substantially meets the requirements.

3.7.4 Evaluation of conformity with guidelines

The quantification and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions and removals in the organization's GHG statement meets the relevant requirements of ISO 14064-1:2018.

3.7.5 Appropriateness of quantification and reporting methodologies and any

variations

The methodology for quantifying and reporting GHG emissions and removals in the organization's GHG statement is suitable and appropriate.

3.7.6 Evaluation of changes since previous cycles

There is no change in organizational boundaries or emission sources in 2023 compared with 2022. Compared with the year 2018 (fixed base year), the verification increases the accounting of scope 3, but due to the company's own carbon management needs, no change is made in the base year, and the emission reduction target is consistent with that of previous years (only for scope 1 and 2).

4 VERIFICATION COMMENTS

CTI implemented a verification plan through sampling and on-site verification according to the agreed level of reasonable assurance and concluded that the total GHG Emission of Multek Industries Limited in 2023 are verified to be <u>177987</u> tCO₂e, which meet the substantial threshold of 5%.

5 VERIFICATION STATEMENT

See Verification Declaration document.



Appendix:

Multek Industries Limited GHG emission practices

1. Water treatment energy-saving fan improvement project

Four traditional SSR three-leaf Roots blowers are used to aerate the reaction pool in the industrial wastewater treatment station. The Roots blowers have some problems, such as high energy consumption, high noise, high maintenance cost and large footprint. In 2023, we invested 380,000 yuan to purchase a single Neuros air suspension blower to replace four traditional SSR three-leaf Roots blowers, saving 358,95KWH of electricity per year, equivalent to reducing 20.47 tons of greenhouse gas emissions per year.



2. Wet process horizontal line energy-saving fan replacement project

The wet process horizontal line drying uses ordinary high-pressure fans with low frequency and speed, and cannot meet the requirements after the air volume



adjustment. Multiple fans can only be used to implement the drying. Due to the small clearance between the fan impeller and the shell, large friction heat is generated, resulting in large noise of the outlet, high power consumption, and low efficiency of the fan. The company invested 3,128,300 yuan to replace 181 ordinary high-pressure fans with 84 high-frequency axial flow fans, and added fan frequency converters for control, saving 1,916,594KWH of electricity per year, equivalent to reducing 1093 tons of greenhouse gas emissions per year.

